

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/913,374		12/11/2001	Thomas Brinz	10191/1977	9532
26646	7590	05/27/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			SIEFKE, SAMUEL P		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MEW TOKE	2, 141 10	7004		1743	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	ation No. Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/913,374	BRINZ ET AL					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Samuel P Siefke	1743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 01 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 6_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
<ul><li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Second		sidered but does No	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or to would be rejected is provided be	will be entered low or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:	•						
Claim(s) rejected: 13-25							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	oroved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art includes all the limitations set forth by the current claims, 13-25. The Office has provided translation of the prior art JP 50-36361 which will be cited on an 892. Applicant argues, "Kanebo clearly does not disclose, or even suggest, providing on an inner surface of the carreir a coating of an oxidaizing agen having an oxidation potential that is sufficient to oxidze SO2." The prior art has all the limitations of the amended claims: a hollow area disposed in the carrier (the hollow area can be any of the area within the honeycomb shaped filter or any of the figures a-f), bounded by an inner surface that can be exposed to a gas and is provided with a coating of an oxidizing agent (this is merely the layer of activated carbon impregnated with potassium permanganate for oxidizing SO2).

Jiil Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700